	Contract Measures – overview	w of char	nges for year 2 reporting period
Ref	Contract Performance Measure	Proposal	Replacement
A1	%Re-Referrals in last 12 months	Retain	n/a
A2	Timeliness of Single Assessment	Retain	n/a
A3	%case file audits <u>rated</u> as 'RI' or better	Retain	n/a – provide breakdown for each category
A4	%CP Plans where 2 weekly visits have not taken place	Replace	%CP visits in timescale where child was seen by their Social Worker
A5	%subject of Child Protection Plan for a second or subsequent time	Revise	% becoming the subject of CPP for a second or subsequent time within 2yr
A6	%Child Protection Plans ended lasting 2 years or more	Revise	%children currently on CPP for 2 years or longer
A7	%Children on CP & CiC with allocated QSW	Drop	n/a
B8	Average length of care proceeding	Revise	Same measure – report quarterly
B9	Stability of Placement of CiC: % length of placement >2yrs	Retain	n/a
B10	Stability of Placement of CiC: % of 3+ moves	Retain	n/a
B11	% of Care Leavers in suitable accommodation (age 19)	Replace	% of Care Leavers in suitable accommodation (age 19-21)
B12	% of Care Leavers in Employment, Training and Education (age 19)	Replace	% of Care Leavers in Employment, Training and Education (age 19-21)
C14	% of frontline FTE posts covered by Agency Staff	Retain	n/a
C15	Of the Permanent Staff that left, %left within 2 years of starting	Replace	Staff turnover (leavers in month expressed as % of FTE)
C16	% of staff with up-to-date 6wkly supervisions	Replace	% front line staff receiving supervisions in timescale in accordance with policy (policy is 4 weeks for majority of staff)
D17a	Year-end forecast –Income and Expenditure Account against plan	Retain	n/a
D17b	Forecast Income vs. budgeted Income	Drop	n/a
D17c	Forecast Operational Expenditure vs. plan	Drop	
D17d	Liquidity	Replace	Cash flow measure detailing 6 month cash flow, highlighting any drawdown need in line with contract
D17e	Current assets cover compared to current liabilities	Drop	n/a
D19	Forecast Operational Expenditure vs Plan	Replace	Separate spend against plan figure for each funding streams additional to annual contract agreement
D21	Progress against savings in Improvement Plan	Drop	n/a
NEW		New	%Children in Need with a current and open Plan
NEW	Measures specified by contract	New	Youth Offending Services – %cohort currently EET
NEW	Measures specified by contract	New	Youth Offending Services – reoffending rate after 12 months
NEW	Measures specified by contract	New	Youth Offending Services – custody rates
NEW		New	"Care Ladder" – quarterly report identifying number, budget & spend of

Invo	asures specified by contract: olvement and participation of Children and families – QA asure	Alternative approach	children in the following placement types: Out of Authority Residential Home, Internal Residential Childrens Home, Independent Fostering Agency, With Trust Foster Carers, Special Guardianship Order. Standing item on each quarterly performance review meeting to cover activity and performance relating to involvement and participation of Children, Young People and Families. This will include (not exclusively) information on participation of children in reviews, contribution to recruitment activity, Children in Care council, analysis of audit, results of any survey activity
		Alternative	Standing item on each quarterly performance review meeting to cover
Mea	asures specified by contract:	approach	activity and performance relating to staff competency. This will include (not
Com	mpetency of social work staff – QA measure		exclusively) information on PDR completion rates, results of any skills
			analyses and audits, staff capability.

Contract Meas	sures for	Year 2			
		R	AG - thresho	lds	
Contract Performance Measure	Frequency	Green	Amber	Red	Notes
%Re-Referrals in last 12 months	Monthly	<=24%	<=28%	>28%	
Timeliness of Single Assessment	Monthly	>=92%	>=90%	<90%	
%case file audits <u>rated</u> as 'RI' or better	Monthly	>=95%	>=90%	<90%	provide breakdown for each category
%CP visits in timescale where child seen	Monthly	>=80%	>=75%	<75%	
% becoming the subject of CPP for a second or subsequent time within 2yr	Monthly	<=16%	<=20%	>20%	
%children currently on CPP for 2 years or longer	Monthly	<=3%	<=5%	>5%	
Average length of care proceeding	Quarterly	<=26wks	<=30wks	>30wks	
Stability of Placement of CiC: % length of placement >2yrs	Monthly	>=70%	>=60%	<60%	
Stability of Placement of CiC: % of 3+ moves	Monthly	<=9%	<=12%	>12%	
% of Care Leavers in suitable accommodation (age 19-21)	Monthly	>=85%	>=80%	<80%	
% of Care Leavers in Employment, Training and Education (age 19-21)	Monthly	>=45%	>=40%	<40%	
%FTE posts covered by Agency Staff	Monthly	<=8%	<=12%	>12%	
Staff turnover (leavers in month expressed as % of FTE)	Monthly	TBC with	TBC with	TBC with	
		HR	HR	HR	
% front line staff receiving supervisions in timescale in accordance with policy	Monthly	>=90%	>=80%	<80%	
Year-end forecast –Income and Expenditure Account against plan	Quarterly	>=1%	>=-1%	<-1%	
Cash flow measure detailing 6 month cash flow, highlighting any drawdown need in line with contract	Quarterly	ТВС	ТВС	ТВС	
Separate spend against plan figure for each funding streams additional to annual contract agreement	Quarterly	>=1%	>=-1%	<-1%	Line against each funding stream
%Children in Need with an open Plan	Monthly	>=95%	>=90%	<90%	
Youth Offending Services – %cohort currently EET	Monthly	>=75%	>=65%	<65%	
Youth Offending Services – reoffending rate after 12 months	Monthly	<=32%	<=40%	>40%	
Youth Offending Services – custody rates	Monthly	<=0.42	<=0.75	>0.75	
"Care Ladder" – quarterly report identifying number and budget/forecast of children in the following placement types: Out of Authority Residential Home, Internal Residential Childrens Home, Independent Fostering Agency, With Trust Foster Carers, Special Guardianship Order.	Quarterly	No target so budget	et, report will	be against	

Indicator Review and Rationale for Change

Operational Performance Measures

Measure	A1:Percentage	of Re-Referrals in	last 12 months				
Target	<25%	Tolerance	<30%	Performance	23%		
				First year			
Numerator	Of the numera	tor, those referrals	that were a repe	at referral			
Denominator	Total number	Total number of referrals opened in last 12 months					
Relevance	subject to a re	Measure of effectiveness of practice through prevention of children being subject to a referral for a second or subsequent time. Prior performance for Doncaster has been poor.					
Reliability	Repeatable me	easure, based on lar	ge numbers.				
Proposal		Retain as effective pulse measure of effective step down arrangements, application of thresholds and front door arrangements					
Proposed Target and Tolerance	Target 24% - b Tolerance 28%	eing the national av	verage and above	e median perfor	mance		

Measure	A2:Timeliness	of Single Assessm	ent (within 45 da	ys)	
Target	<95%	Tolerance	<90%	Performance	87%
				First year	Max: 95%
Numerator	Of the denomi	nator, those that v	vere completed w	ithin 45 working	g days
Denominator	All assessment	s completed in the	calendar month		
Relevance	Measure of Tr	ust's ability to com	plete timely asses	ssments in order	to identify
	needs of a child and how to meet them. Does not identify those assessments				
	that can quick	y be closed with N	FA. Performance	not yet consiste	ently within
	target range a	nd is influenced by	referral rates and	d staffing numbe	ers.
Reliability	Repeatable me	easure, based on la	rge numbers.		
Proposal	Retain as perfo	ormance not yet su	stained, and also	to ensure move	to locality
	teams has pos	itive impact on me	eting this statuto	ry deadline.	
Proposed Target	Target 92% - w	ould place Trust in	top quartile perf	formance, and w	as achieved
and Tolerance	for 4 months in	n 2014/1 5			
	Tolerance 90%	•			

Measure	A3:Percentage of monthly case file audits rated as 'requires improven better				
Target	<95%	Tolerance	<90%	Performance	88%
				First year	
Numerator	Of the denominator, those with an overall grading of requires improvement or				
	better				
Denominator	Number of ca	ise file audits com	pleted each cale	endar month as part	of routine
		ity by managers			
Relevance	Single measu	re of quality withi	n indicator set.	Performance not ye	t sustained
	-			consistent applicatio	
	thresholds.				in or ddale
Reliability	Dependent u	pon audit number	s completed ea	ch week. As with an	y quality
•	•		•	of standards. Reliabil	
		ing and external r	••		, ,
Proposal	Retain as me	asure of quality, b	ut also supplyin	g breakdown in eacl	h grade band.

	Supplemented by reporting on audit activity and findings through monthly/quarterly challenge meetings.
Proposed Target	Target %RI or better: 95% retain current target and tolerance
and Tolerance	Tolerance: 90%

Measure	A4:Percentage taken place	of young people w	ith CP Plans who	ere 2 weekly vis	its have not
Target	<5%	Tolerance	<10%	Performance	3%
Numerator	Of the denomi	nator, those who ha	ve had a visit wi	thin last 2 week	s of report
	date.				
Denominator	Number of children who have been subject to a CPP as at month end, who had				
	been subject of a CP for more than 28 days.				
Relevance	There is no sta	tutory expectation o	of visits to take p	lace each 2 wee	ks, although it
	is a current exp	ectation of the serv	vice. It is an Ofst	ed recommenda	ation that
	children are se	en and seen alone.			
Reliability	Repeatable me	asure, based on lar	ge numbers.		
Proposal	Revise measur	e to become positive	e and to include	the element of	child being
	seen: %visits in	timescale where ch	nild seen"		
Proposed Target	Target: 80%				
and Tolerance	Tolerance: 75%	, >			

Measure	A5:Percentage	of children becomi	ng the subject o	f Child Protectio	on Plan for a
	second or subs	sequent time			
Target	<15%	Tolerance	Between 5	Performance	13%
			and 20%		
Numerator	Of the denomi	nator, those that ha	d previously sub	ject to a child pr	otection plan,
	irrespective of	time			
Denominator	Number of chil	dren starting a child	protection plan	in the calendar	month
Relevance	Measure of eff	ectiveness of practi	ce through preve	ention of childre	n being
	subject to a CP	P for a second or su	bsequent time. I	Prior performan	ce for
	Doncaster has	been poor. Measure	es appropriate th	nresholds for sta	rting and
	ending the CP	process			
Reliability	Numbers of ch	ildren beginning a p	lan fluctuate bet	tween months, r	meaning the
	denominator c	an be low, thus skev	ving performand	æ.	
	Measure can s	ometimes be influer	nced by two plar	is many years ap	part
Proposal	Revise to reflee	ct national measure	of Percentage o	f children becon	ning the
	subject of Child	d Protection Plan for	a second or sub	osequent time w	ithin 2 years.
Proposed Target	Target: 16%				
and Tolerance	Tolerance: 20%	0			

Measure	A6:Child Prote	ction Plans ended l	asting 2 yea	irs or more	
Target	<10%	Tolerance	<15%	Performance	4%
Numerator	Child Protectio	Of the children in the denominator, the number who had been the subject of a Child Protection Plan continuously for two years or longer (i.e. for more than 729 calendar days including days of cessation).			
Denominator	during the cale	endar month. This m	ay count a	ect of a Child Protect child more than once ore than once during	if they ceased

Relevance	Measure of effectiveness of practices through prevention of drift on CP cases. Good performance is typified by a lower percentage. However It is recognise that some children will need child protection plans for longer than 2 years.
Reliability	Numbers of children plans ceasing fluctuate between months, meaning the
	denominator can be low, thus skewing performance.
Proposal	To change to %children currently on CPP for 2 years or longer.
Proposed Target	Target: 3%
and Tolerance	Tolerance: 5%

Measure	A7:Children or	A7:Children on CP & CiC with allocated QSW					
Target	99%	Tolerance	99%	Performance	100%		
Numerator	Of the denomi	nator those allocate	d a qualified soc	ial worker			
Denominator	Children currently identified as being either being in care or subject to a child protection plan at the point of the report						
Relevance		that Trust has appro orker. However doe	• •		that children		
Reliability	Reliable as pulled direct from Liquid Logic. All staff have an appropriate job title that is checked.						
Proposal	Drop as perfor	mance is routinely a	t 100% and at ta	irget.			

Measure	B8:Average ler	B8:Average length of care proceedings (weeks)						
Target	<26 weeks	<26 weeks Tolerance <30 weeks Performance 28 weeks						
Numerator	Of those in the	Denominator total	number of week	s the care proce	edings have			
	taken to comp	ete						
Denominator	Number of car	Number of care proceedings completed in previous calendar month						
Relevance	Demonstrates timeliness of care proceedings as a counter indicator of drift/delay.							
Reliability	Figures have to be reported with a month delay due to delay in court system being updated. Averages can be based on very small numbers (<10 in one month).							
Proposal	Drift/Delay remains an issue as identified in Ofsted report so retain. However, consider moving to quarterly.							
Proposed Target	Target: <26 we	Target: <26 weeks						
and Tolerance	Tolerance: <30	weeks						

Measure	B9: Stability o	B9: Stability of placements of looked after children: length of placement					
Target	>70%	Tolerance	>60%	Performance	67%		
Numerator	least 2 years,	All children in the denominator who were living in the same placement for at least 2 years, or are placed for adoption and their adoptive placement together with their previous placement together last for at least 2 years					
Denominator	after for 2.5 y	All children aged under than 16 on last day of the month who had been looked after for 2.5 years. Exclude children who had been looked after at any time during the 2.5 year period under an agreed series of short term-placements					
Relevance	placement is the % the bet	Gives good indication of whether the decision making with regards suitable of placement is robust and considers the needs of the child. Generally the higher the % the better, although performance should never reach 100% as some placement change is necessary.					

Reliability	Drawn from Liquid logic so dependent upon timely recording of placement
	changes. This may have an associated lag but insufficient to substantially affect
	impact
Proposal	Retain. Key measure of LAC wellbeing and effective strategy for provision.
	Performance not yet at desired level.
Proposed Target	Target: 70%
and Tolerance	Tolerance: 60%

Measure	B10: Stability of placements of looked after children: number of placements						
Target	<9%	Tolerance	<12%	Performance	7%		
Numerator	 Of the children looked after in the denominator, the number who had three or more separate placements during the last 12 months. All placements of 24 hours or more are counted, regardless of duration. Any placements that were already open on 1 April at the beginning of the year, and any which were open on 31 March at the end of the year are included. All placements regarded as temporary are included, the only exceptions being the following special cases: temporary periods on holiday or in hospital; where a foster carer goes on holiday for 21 days or less and the child temporarily stays with another carer during this time (only two such breaks allowed in any one year); Other temporary absences of seven consecutive days or less, where the child then returned as planned to the previous placement. 						
Denominator		The total number of children who were looked after at month end, excluding any children who were looked after on that date under an agreed series of short					
Relevance	Gives good indication of whether the decision making with regards suitable of placement is robust and considers the needs of the child. Good performance is typified by a lower percentage, although performance should never reach 0% as some placement change is appropriate for the needs of the child.						
Reliability	Drawn from Liquid logic so dependent upon timely recording of placement changes. This may have an associated lag but insufficient to substantially affect impact.						
Proposal	Retain. Key measure of LAC wellbeing and effective strategy for provision. Performance not yet stable at desired level.						
Proposed Target and Tolerance	Target: 9% Tolerance: 12%	·					

Measure	B11:Percentag	B11:Percentage of Care Leavers in suitable accommodation (age 19yrs)					
Target	>90%	Tolerance	>85%	Performance	96%		
Numerator	Of those and v	Of those and who were in suitable accommodation on or nearest to 19 th					
	birthday. (3 m	onths before and on	e month after th	ne young person	's birthday)		
Denominator	The total num	per of young people	aged 19 who we	ere looked after	under any		
	legal status (other than V3 or V4) on 1 April in their 17th year.						
Relevance	Good perform	ance is typified by a	higher percenta	ge,			
Reliability	The use of the	criteria of suitable i	s subjective and	is open to differ	rent		
	interpretations, particularly between Authorities. However, as an internal						
	measure it should be a reliable measure of whether or not care leavers are living						
	in suitable acc	ommodation					

Proposal	Extend to 19-21 year old cohort to reflect national measure and accurately
	reflect 18+ service activity. This will mean some revision of targets and
	tolerances due to the extension of the age group
Proposed Target	Target: 85% (to reflect broader age range)
and Tolerance	Tolerance: 80%

Measure	B12:Percentage of Care Leavers in Employment, Training and Education (age						
	19yrs)						
Target	>55%	Tolerance	>50%	Performance	54%		
Numerator		denominator who		ation, employmen	t or training':		
		full (at least 16 hrs			U.a		
		art-time (less than 1	•		•		
		on, Education othe					
		birthday. (3 month	s before and o	ne month after th	e young		
	person's birtho						
Denominator		per of young people	-		under any		
	legal status (ot	her than V3 or V4) o	on 1 April in th	eir 17th year.			
Relevance	Good performa	ance is typified by a	higher percent	tage			
Reliability	Measure is reli	able as 18+ service	routinely recor	d activity during t	heir casework		
	and tracking. S	ome care leavers re	fuse a service,	however it is still	appropriate to		
	ensure that the	e Service understan	ds their curren	t situation. This is	a nationally		
	recorded and r	eported indicator se	o will allow for	benchmarking.			
Proposal	Extend to 19-2	1 year old cohort to	reflect nation	al measure and ac	curately		
	reflect 18+ serv	reflect 18+ service activity. This will mean some revision of targets and					
	tolerances due	tolerances due to the extension of the age group					
Proposed Target	Target: 45% (to reflect broader age range)						
and Tolerance	Tolerance: 40%	/ D					

HR Measures

Measure	C14:Percentag	e of frontline FTE p	osts covered	by Agency Staff			
Target	<8%	Tolerance	<12%	Performance	10%		
Numerator	Of the number	of FTE posts, count	of those cur	rently filled by an a	gency worker		
Denominator	Number of FTE	Number of FTE posts identified as frontline through title (social worker, etc)					
Relevance	Doncaster started with a high reliance on Agency Staff and has successfully managed to reduce this. However, the labour market for social work remains volatile with neighbouring authorities offering different salaries and packages to attract social workers. There is an additional cost associated with employing agency staff, although the Trust will continue to use Agency staff in order to meet demand and ensure children receive a good service.						
Reliability	Nationally reported measure although there are different interpretations of the requirement so benchmarking should be treated with caution. Internal reporting should be reliable.						
Proposal	Retain as an indicator of establishment rates.						
Proposed Target	Target: 8%						
and Tolerance	Tolerance: 12%	,)					

Measure	C15:Of the Per	C15:Of the Permanent Staff that left, how many left within 2 years of starting					
Target	<25%	Tolerance	<35%	Performance	0%		
Numerator	Of the denomi	Of the denominator, those that had less than 2 years' service within the Trust/LA					
Denominator	The number of	The number of staff that leave the organisation in the month					
Relevance	Introduced as	Introduced as a proxy for turnover and staff satisfaction.					
Reliability	Numbers of lea	avers are low each n	honth, making th	ne monthly figur	e unreliable.		
	So far perform	ance reported again	st this measure	has not given ar	ny indicator of		
	satisfaction and is not a reliable measure of overall turnover rates.						
Proposal	Drop and repla	Drop and replace with turnover measure, aligned to the annual Social Care					
	workforce return to DFE. However, turnover to be reported for all staff not just						
	those that are	those that are caseholding.					

Measure	C16:Percentag	e of staff with up-to	o-date 6wkly sup	pervisions		
Target	ТВС	Tolerance	ТВС	Performance	76%	
Numerator	Of the denomi	nator, those that ree	ceived a supervis	sion in the last 6	weeks.	
Denominator	Number of cas month	Number of caseholding staff employed by the Trust at the end of the calendar month				
Relevance	Supervisions were identified as an issue within recent Ofsted Inspection and Trust accepts it did not have a routine and reliable method for recording and reporting supervisions of staff.					
Reliability		d for all front line st st this measure.	aff supervisions	now in place. Th	nis can be used	
Proposal	Amend the indicator so that it reports the percentage of front line staff receiving supervisions in timescale in accordance with policy. Expectation is that all staff receive at least a monthly supervision, with some exceptions that can be factored in.					
Proposed Target	Target: 90%	Target: 90%				
and Tolerance	Tolerance: 80%	, 5				

Finance Measures

(reviewed and agreed through Finance to Finance Meetings as part of the annual contract review)

Measure	D17a - Year-end forecast –Income and Expenditure Account against plan						
Target	>0	Tolerance	<0	Performance	£0.35M		
					Adverse		
Numerator	Forecasted yea	Forecasted year end spend and income against plan					
Denominator							
Relevance		Measure of Trust's financial management against budget, taking into account					
		MBC provides majo	, 0	Trust and there	fore a relevant		
	measure of performance against spend.						
Reliability	Reliable as part of monthly management account activity.						
Proposal	Retain measure but change target/tolerance so that they are reported as +/-1%						
	with (positive) = green and (negative) = red						

Measure	D17b - Forecast Income vs. budgeted Income							
Target	99.5%	99.5% Tolerance >99% Performance 255%						
Numerator								
Denominator								
Relevance								
Reliability								
Proposal	Drop							

Measure	D17c - Forecast Operational Expenditure (before tax, depreciation etc.) vs. plan (excluding agreed adjustments)					
Target	99.5%	Tolerance	>99.5%	Performance	98%	
Numerator						
Denominator						
Relevance						
Reliability						
Proposal	Drop					

Measure	D17d - Liquidity						
Target	>30d	Tolerance	<15d	Performance	55d		
Numerator	Cash availability						
Denominator	Average daily expenditure						
Relevance	Not a relevant measure of Trust viability, and does not recognise the Trust's						
	ability to drawdown according to contract						
Reliability							
Proposal	Replace with Cash flow measure detailing 6 month cash flow, highlighting any						
	drawdown need in line with contract						

Measure	D17e - Current assets cover compared to current liabilities				
Target	>2	Tolerance	<1	Performance	2
Numerator					
Denominator					

Relevance	Trust assets remain low and therefore a simple count is not an effective performance measure. More appropriate for this to be discussed on an individual basis through monthly finance to finance meetings.
Reliability	
Proposal	Drop

Measure	D19 - Capital expenditure/Income vs. Plan					
Target	<0	Tolerance	0	Performance	Nil	
Numerator						
Denominator						
Relevance						
Reliability						
Proposal	Replace with a specific measure that reports funding streams additional to annual contract agreement (for example projects, capital) spend against plan.					
	Each additional funding stream to be reported separately					

Measure	D21 - Progress against savings in Improvement Plan					
Target	-	Tolerance	-	Performance	66%	
Numerator						
Denominator						
Relevance						
Reliability						
Proposal	Drop					